arXiv:0912.0393v2 [math.AP] 19 Mar 2010

Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problem involving p-Laplacian

Qiuyi Dai

Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University

Changsha Hunan 410081, P.R.China

E-mail: daiqiuyi@yahoo.com.cn

Yuxia Fu

Department of Applied Mathematics, Hunan University

Changsha Hunan 410082, P.R.China

E-mail: fu_yuxia@yahoo.com.cn

Abstract

The eigenvalue problem for the p-Laplace operator with Robin boundary condition is considered in this paper. A Faber-Krahn type inequality is proved. More precisely, it is shown that amongst all the domains of fixed volume, the ball has the smallest first eigenvalue.

AMS subject classification: 35P15, 35P30, 35J65, 35J70

Key words: Robin problem, p-Laplacian, Faber-Krahn type inequality

Preface

The result of this paper was announced at first on a conference held at the Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics in May 2007. The final version of this paper was finished in September 2008 when the first author worked as a research fellow at The Australian National University. As soon as we completed our paper, we sent a copy of our preprint to D.Daners (see item 6 in the reference of [3]) since our result is related to a previous paper [5] of him. Five months later, D.Bucur and D.Daners give an alternative proof of our result in February 2009. Though the paper has been published (see [3]), their proof depends completely on Proposition 2.2, Corollary 2.3 and Proposition 2.7 of this paper which, to our knowledge, can not been found in other

---

This work is supported by NNSF of China(Grant: No.10671064)

materials. For completeness of their proof and the reader's convenience, our paper will be published here.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset R^N (N \geq 2)$ be an open bounded smooth domain, we consider the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \beta |u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $1 < p < +\infty$, ν is the outward unit normal of $\partial\Omega$ and β is a non-negative constant.

The p-Laplacian $\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ arises in many applications such as non-Newtonian fluids, quasi-regular and quasi-conformal mapping theory and Finsler geometry etc. An important special case of the p-Laplacian is the well known Laplacian $\Delta u = \mathrm{div}(\nabla u)$ which corresponds to $p = 2$. Problem (1.1) is called Dirichlet when $\beta = +\infty$, Neumann when $\beta = 0$, and Robin when $0 < \beta < +\infty$.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove a Faber-Krahn type inequality for the Robin problem of the p-Laplacian. This inequality says that amongst all the domains of fixed volume, the ball has the smallest first eigenvalue. The study of this kinds of inequalities can be traced back to 1877 [20]. Let B denote a ball in R^N, and $\lambda_1^D(\Omega)$ denote the first eigenvalue of the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\psi = \lambda\psi & x \in \Omega, \\ \psi = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Rayleigh [20] conjectured that

$$\lambda_1^D(\Omega) \geq \lambda_1^D(B) \quad \text{for } \Omega \subset R^N \quad \text{with } |\Omega| = |B|, \tag{1.3}$$

and the equality hold if and only if $\Omega = B$. This conjecture was proved independently by Faber [8] and Krahn [16, 17] in the 1920's by making use of Schwartz symmetrization. Since then, the inequality (1.3) was known as Faber-Krahn inequality. In 1999, a proof of Faber-Krahn type inequality for the Dirichlet problem of the p-Laplacian was given by T. Bhattacherya [1]. Recently, Faber-krahn type inequality was generalized to Robin problem of the Laplacian by M.H.Bossel [2] for dimension $N = 2$, and by D.Daners [5] for dimension $N \geq 3$ but left the equality case open. A little bit later, D.Daners and J.Kennedy complete the proof of equality case in [6]. Note that the generalization of the Faber-Krahn inequality from Dirichlet problem to Robin problem is not trivial as, unlike in the Dirichlet problem, the first eigenvalue of Robin problem is not monotone as the domain expands (see [11]). For more information of the Faber-Krahn type inequality on manifold , we refer to [13].

Since the level surface of the first eigenfunction of Robin problem intersects with the boundary $\partial\Omega$, the Schwartz symmetrization of the first eigenfunction generally does not decrease its Dirichlet integral and hence the Schwartz symmetrization method does not apply to the proof of Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problem. Therefore, new approach must be employed in the proof of the Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problem. The two crucial tools used by D.Daners [5] to prove the Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problem of the Laplacian are the Bessel functions and a new formula for the first eigenvalue by making use of level sets of the corresponding eigenfunction. To prove the Faber-Krahn type inequality for Robin problem of the p-Laplacian with $p \neq 2$, we mainly face two difficulties. One is the lack of Bessel functions and the other is the degeneracy of the operator. The tools we use to overcome these difficulties are some new abstract propositions of the first eigenfunction and some approximation procedure. The main results of this paper can be stated as the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $1 < p < +\infty$ *and* $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ *be the first eigenvalue of problem (1.1) with* $0 < \beta < +\infty$. *If* B *is an open ball such that* $|B| = |\Omega|$, *then* $\lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_1(\Omega)$.

Remark. Theorem 1.1 is proved under the assumption that Ω is smooth. However, by an approximation method similar to that used in [5], we can prove that Theorem 1.1 is still true for the domains of Lipschitz type.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $1 < p < +\infty$ *and* B *be a ball satisfying* $|B| = |\Omega|$. *If* $\lambda_1(\Omega) = \lambda_1(B)$, *then, up to a translation, we have* $\Omega = B$.

We also point out here that a symmetry result due to Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [10] plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.2 when $p = 2$ (see D.Daners and J.Kennedy [6]). However, this kind of result is not available for p-Laplace equation when $p > 2$ and $p \neq N$ (see however [15] for the case $p = N$). Fortunately, we can prove a symmetry result needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in the special case of eigenvalue problem, though we can not prove more general symmetry result as in [10].

The contents of the rest of this paper are as follows: §2 The First Eigenvalue and Eigenfunction. §3 Level Sets Formula of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$. §4 The lower bound of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$. §5 Proof of Theorem 1.1. §6 Proof of Theorem 1.2.

2 The First Eigenvalue and Eigenfunction

In this section, we give definition and some properties of the first eigenvalue and its corresponding eigenfunction of problem (1.1). We focus on the case $0 < \beta < +\infty$, since the case $\beta = +\infty$ has been resolved and the case $\beta = 0$ is trivial.

Let $\mathcal{K} = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1\}$. Let

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) = \inf\{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p;\ u \in \mathcal{K}\} \tag{2.1}$$

be the first eigenvalue and ψ the corresponding eigenfunction of problem (1.1).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ be defined as in (2.1). Then $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$ can be achieved by some positive function ψ.*

Proof. Define functional $\Phi(u)$ on $\mathcal{K}$ by

$$\Phi(u) = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p dx.$$

It is obvious that $\Phi(u)$ is a convex functional. By Theorem 1.3 in Chapter 5 of [12], $\Phi(u)$ is weakly lower semi-continuous on $\mathcal{K}$. Let $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a minimum sequence of λ_1 on $\mathcal{K}$, that is, $\int_\Omega |u_j|^p dx = 1$ and

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_j|^p dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_j|^p dx \to \lambda_1(\Omega), \quad \text{as j} \to +\infty.$$

Since $\{u_j\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and the embedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is compact, there exists $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_j \rightharpoonup u \ \text{ weakly in } \mathrm{W^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

$$u_j \rightharpoonup u \ \text{ strongly in } \mathrm{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Hence, by the weakly lower semi-continuity of $\Phi(u)$, we have

$$\Phi(u) \le \underline{\lim}_{j\to+\infty} \Phi(u_j) = \lambda_1(\Omega).$$

On the other hand, we have $\lambda_1(\Omega) \le \Phi(u)$ due to $u \in \mathcal{K}$ and the definition of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$. Thus $\lambda_1(\Omega) = \Phi(u)$. Let $\psi = |u|$, it is easy to check that $\lambda_1(\Omega) = \Phi(\psi)$. Moreover, ψ is positive in $\bar{\Omega}$ by the strong maximum principle (see Lemma 2.6 below) . Thus, we complete the proof of proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ be the first eigenvalue of problem (1.1). Then $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ is simple in the sense that if $\psi_1 > 0$ and $\psi_2 > 0$ are two eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_1(\Omega)$, then $\psi_2 = C\psi_1$ and C is a constant.*

Proof. Suppose that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are two eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_1(\Omega)$, and $\psi_1, \ \psi_2 > 0$. Then ψ_i, $i = 1, 2$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla\psi_i|^{p-2}\nabla\psi_i) = \lambda|\psi_i|^{p-2}\psi_i & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla\psi_i|^{p-2}\frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial\nu} + \beta|\psi_i|^{p-2}\psi_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Let

$$\eta_1 = \psi_1 - \psi_2^p\psi_1^{1-p} = \frac{\psi_1^p - \psi_2^p}{\psi_1^{p-1}}, \quad \eta_2 = \psi_2 - \psi_1^p\psi_2^{1-p} = \frac{\psi_2^p - \psi_1^p}{\psi_2^{p-1}}.$$

Multiplying equation (2.2) by η_i $(i = 1, 2)$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi_i|^{p-2}\nabla\psi_i \cdot \nabla\eta_i + \beta\int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_i^{p-1}\eta_i - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega \psi_i^{p-1}\eta_i = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega (1+(p-1)(\tfrac{\psi_2}{\psi_1})^p)|\nabla\psi_1|^p + \int_\Omega (1+(p-1)(\tfrac{\psi_1}{\psi_2})^p)|\nabla\psi_2|^p\\ &-\int_\Omega (p(\tfrac{\psi_2}{\psi_1})^{p-1}|\nabla\psi_1|^{p-2} + p(\tfrac{\psi_1}{\psi_2})^{p-1}|\nabla\psi_2|^{p-2})\nabla\psi_1\cdot\nabla\psi_2 = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Noticing that $\nabla(\ln\psi_i) = \frac{\nabla\psi_i}{\psi_i}$, (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega (\psi_1^p + (p-1)\psi_2^p)|\nabla\ln\psi_1|^p + (\psi_2^p + (p-1)\psi_1^p)|\nabla\ln\psi_2|^p\\ &= p\int_\Omega (\psi_2^p|\nabla\ln\psi_1|^{p-2} + \psi_1^p|\nabla\ln\psi_2|^{p-2})\nabla\ln\psi_1\cdot\nabla\ln\psi_2\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega (\psi_1^p - \psi_2^p)(|\nabla\ln\psi_1|^p - |\nabla\ln\psi_2|^p)\\ &= p\int_\Omega \psi_2^p|\nabla\ln\psi_1|^{p-2}(\nabla\ln\psi_1)\cdot(\nabla\ln\psi_2 - \nabla\ln\psi_1)\\ &-p\int_\Omega \psi_1^p|\nabla\ln\psi_2|^{p-2}(\nabla\ln\psi_2)\cdot(\nabla\ln\psi_2 - \nabla\ln\psi_1).\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

Observing that (see [18])

$$|\xi_2|^p - |\xi_1|^p \geq p|\xi_1|^{p-2}\xi_1\cdot(\xi_2-\xi_1) + C(p)\frac{|\xi_2-\xi_1|^p}{2^p-1}, \quad \forall\ \xi_1,\xi_2\in R^n,\tag{2.6}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&|\nabla\ln\psi_1|^p - |\nabla\ln\psi_2|^p\\ &\geq p|\nabla\ln\psi_2|^{p-2}(\nabla\ln\psi_2)\cdot(\nabla\ln\psi_1 - \nabla\ln\psi_2) + C_1(p)\tfrac{|\nabla\ln\psi_1 - \nabla\ln\psi_2|^p}{2^p-1},\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&|\nabla\ln\psi_2|^p - |\nabla\ln\psi_1|^p\\ &\geq p|\nabla\ln\psi_1|^{p-2}(\nabla\ln\psi_1)\cdot(\nabla\ln\psi_2 - \nabla\ln\psi_1) + C_2(p)\tfrac{|\nabla\ln\psi_2 - \nabla\ln\psi_1|^p}{2^p-1},\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

where $C(p)$, $C_1(p)$ and $C_2(p)$ are positive constants depend only on p.

From (2.5), (2.7) and (2.8), we deduce

$$-\frac{C_1(p)+C_2(p)}{2^p-1}\int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{\psi_2^p} + \frac{1}{\psi_1^p}\right)|\nabla\ln\psi_1 - \nabla\ln\psi_2|^p \geq 0.$$

This implies that $\nabla(\ln\psi_1 - \ln\psi_2) = 0$, namely, $\psi_2 = C\psi_1$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *If $\Omega = B(0)$ is a ball, then the first eigenfunction ψ of problem (1.1) is radially symmetry, that is, $\psi(x) = \psi(r)$ with $r = |x|$.*

Proof. The conclusion of Corollary 2.3 comes immediately from the simplicity of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ and the rotational invariance of problem (1.1).

To state our next proposition of the first eigenfunction, we need the following two lemmas which were proved in the appendix of [21].

Lemma 2.4.*(Weak comparison principle) Let $\Omega \in R^N$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $u_1, u_2 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfy*

$$-\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u_1|^{p-2}\nabla u_1) \le -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u_2|^{p-2}\nabla u_2) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

in weak sense. Then $u_1 \le u_2$ on $\partial\Omega$ implies $u_1 \le u_2$ in Ω.

Lemma 2.5.*(Hopf's lemma) Let $\Omega \in R^N$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) \ge 0 & x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0 & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

If $u = 0$ at $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x_0) < 0$, where ν denotes the unit outward vector normal to $\partial\Omega$.

We also need the following strong maximum principle which is a special case of Theorem 1.1 in [19].

Lemma 2.6.*(Strong maximum principle) If $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ satisfies the following inequalities in weak sense*

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) \ge 0 & x \in \Omega, \\ u \ge 0 & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Then, $u(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in \Omega$ implies $u(x) \equiv 0$ in Ω.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $B_R(0)$ be a ball in R^N with radius R and center 0. If $\psi(x) = \psi(r)$ denotes the first eigenfunction of problem (1.1) on $B_R(0)$, then $\psi'(r) < 0$ for any $0 < r \le R$.*

Proof: For any fixed $r_0 \in (0, R)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla\phi(r_0)|^{p-2}\nabla\phi(r_0)) \le -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla\phi(x)|^{p-2}\nabla\phi(x)) & x \in B_{r_0}(0) \\ \phi(x) = \phi(r_0) & x \in \partial B_{r_0}(0). \end{cases}$$

Hence, by lemma 2.4, we have

$$\phi(x) \ge \phi(r_0) \qquad x \in B_{r_0}(0).$$

Since $\phi(x)$ is not a constant, it follows from lemma 2.6 that

$$\phi(x) > \phi(r_0) \qquad x \in B_{r_0}(0).$$

Let $w(x) = \phi(x) - \phi(r_0) = \phi(r) - \phi(r_0)$. Then, $w(x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla w(x)|^{p-2}\nabla w(x)) = \lambda_1\phi(x) > 0 & x \in B_{r_0}(0) \\ w(x) > 0 & x \in B_{r_0}(0) \\ w(x) = 0 & x \in \partial B_{r_0}(0). \end{cases}$$

Consequently, lemma 2.5 implies that $\phi'(r_0) < 0$. Noting that r_0 is arbitrary, the conclusion of proposition 2.7 then follows.

We conclude this section with the following proposition which is essential for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $B_R(0)$ be a ball in R^N with radius R and center 0. Let $\psi(x) = \psi(r)$ denote the first eigenfunction of problem (1.1) on $B_R(0)$. If $g(r) = |\psi'(r)|/\psi(r)$, then $g'(r) > 0$ for $0 < r < R$, and $g(r) \leq \beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ for any $r \in [0, R]$.*

Proof: It follows from Proposition 2.7 and the standard regularity theory of elliptic equations that $\psi \in C^\infty(B_R(0) \setminus \{0\})$. Consequently, $0 < g \in C^\infty(0, R)$. Now, we compute

$$g' = (-\frac{\psi'}{\psi})' = -\frac{\psi''}{\psi} + g^2, \tag{2.11}$$

$$g'' = -\frac{\psi'''}{\psi} + 3gg' - g^3. \tag{2.12}$$

From the equation satisfied by ψ, we have

$$-(p-1)|\psi'|^{p-2}\psi'' - \frac{N-1}{r}|\psi'|^{p-2}\psi' = \lambda_1\psi^{p-1}. \tag{2.13}$$

It follows that

$$-(p-1)\psi'' - \frac{N-1}{r}\psi' = \lambda_1\frac{\psi}{g^{p-2}}. \tag{2.14}$$

Differentiating the above equation, we obtain

$$-(p-1)\frac{\psi'''}{\psi} - \frac{N-1}{r}\frac{\psi''}{\psi} - \frac{N-1}{r^2}g = -\frac{\lambda_1}{g^{p-3}} - \frac{(p-2)\lambda_1 g'}{g^{p-1}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Since $-\frac{\psi''}{\psi} = g' - g^2$, it follows from (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= -(p-1)g^{p-2}\tfrac{\psi''}{\psi} + \tfrac{N-1}{r}g^{p-1} \\ &= (p-1)g^{p-2}g' - (p-1)g^p + \tfrac{N-1}{r}g^{p-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Hence

$$-\frac{\lambda_1}{g^{p-3}} = -(p-1)gg' + (p-1)g^3 - \frac{N-1}{r}g^2,$$

and

$$-\frac{\psi'''}{\psi} = g^3 + \frac{(N-1)g}{(p-1)r^2} - fg',$$

where $f = g + \frac{N-1}{(p-1)r} + \frac{(p-2)\lambda_1}{(p-1)g^{p-1}}$. Substituting this equation into (2.12), we infer that for any $r \in (0, R)$

$$g''(r) + [f(r) - 3g(r)]g'(r) = \frac{(N-1)g(r)}{(p-1)r^2} > 0.$$

we claim that $g' \neq 0$ in $(0, R)$. For if there exists $r_0 \in (0, R)$ such that $g'(r_0) = 0$, then $g''(r_0) > 0$. Hence r_0 is a minimum point of g. Since $g \geq 0$ and $g(0) = 0$, it follows from the continuity of g that $g(r_0) = 0$. This contradicts with the fact that $g > 0$ in $(0, R)$. Consequently, g' has definite sign in $(0, R)$. This implies immediately that $g' > 0$ in $(0, R)$. For if $g' \leq 0$ in $(0, R)$, then we have $g(r) \leq g(0) = 0$ in $(0, R)$, a contradiction. Finally, the a priori estimate $g(r) \leq \beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ for any $r \in [0, R]$ follows from the facts that $g' > 0$ and $g(R) = \beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.8.

3 Level Sets Formula of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$

For an open set $U \subset \Omega$, we define the interior and exterior boundary of U respectively by

$$\partial_I U = \partial U \cap \Omega, \quad \partial_E U = \partial U \cap \partial\Omega.$$

Then $\partial U = \partial_I U \cup \partial_E U$ is a disjoint union. For any $\varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\varphi(x) \geq 0$, we define a functional $H_\Omega(U, \varphi)$ by

$$H_\Omega(U, \varphi) = \frac{1}{|U|}\left(\int_{\partial_I U} \varphi \, d\sigma + \int_{\partial_E U} \beta \, d\sigma - (p-1)\int_U \varphi^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \, dx\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where σ is the $(N-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure defined on ∂U and $|U|$ is the Lebesgue measure of U. Since φ is continuous on $\partial_I U$ and U, all integrals in $H_\Omega(U, \varphi)$ are well defined. In the following, we reformulate $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ by $H_\Omega(U, \varphi)$. To this end, we always denote by ψ the first eigenfunction of (1.1) and sometimes denote ψ by ψ_Ω when we want to emphasize the dependence of ψ on the domain Ω . Furthermore, we choose ψ so that $\psi > 0$ and $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 1$. By regularity results of DiBenedetto [7] and Tolksdorf [23, 24], we know that ψ belongs to $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let

$$m = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \psi(x).$$

Then, by Hopf's boundary point Lemma we have $m > 0$. For any $t \in (m, 1)$, we denote by U_t the level set of ψ, that is

$$U_t = \{x \in \Omega;\ \psi(x) > t\},$$

then U_t is open and the interior boundary of U_t is the level surface

$$S_t = \partial_I U_t = \{x \in \Omega;\ \psi(x) = t\}.$$

Hence, $S_t = \emptyset$, if $t \notin (m, 1]$. Bearing all notations ψ, U_t and S_t in mind, we prove

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ be the first eigenvalue of problem (1.1), ψ be the corresponding eigenfunction , and $H_\Omega(U, \varphi)$ be defined as in (3.1). Then*

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) = H_\Omega\left(U_t, \frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}}\right) \quad \textit{for} \ \ t \in (m, 1). \tag{3.2}$$

As in [5], second partial derivatives of eigenfunction will be involved in the proof of Proposition 3.1. However, it is well known that, in general, the best possible regularity results of Problem (1.1) is $C^{1,\alpha}$. Hence, to prove Proposition 3.1, we consider the following regularized problem

(3.3)

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}[(\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u_\varepsilon] = \lambda^\varepsilon|u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}u_\varepsilon - \varepsilon(\varepsilon {u_\varepsilon}^2+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}u_\varepsilon, & x\in\Omega, \\ (\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial\nu}+\beta u_\varepsilon^{p-1}=0, & x\in\partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

where $1<p<+\infty$, ν is the outward unit normal of $\partial\Omega$.

Define $\lambda_1^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ by

$$\lambda_1^\varepsilon(\Omega)=\inf\{\int_\Omega(\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac p2}+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}u_\varepsilon^p;\ u_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{K}\}.$$

Then, we have

Lemma 3.2. *For any* $\varepsilon>0$, $\lambda_1^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ *is attained by a positive function* $\psi_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{K}$. *Moreover, up to a subsequence, we have* $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to0}\lambda_1^\varepsilon(\Omega)=\lambda_1(\Omega)$, *and*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\psi_\varepsilon=\widehat{\psi}\quad in\ \ C^1(\overline{\Omega})$$

where $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ *is the first eigenvalue of problem (1.1) and* $\widehat{\psi}$ *is a corresponding eigenfunction with* $||\widehat{\psi}||_{L^p(\Omega)}=1$.

Proof. The conclusion that $\lambda_1^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ is attained by a positive function ψ_ε can be proved in the same way as that of Proposition 2.1. To prove the second part of Lemma 3.2, We first note that ψ_ε is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ when ε is small enough. Hence, up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\psi_\varepsilon\rightharpoonup\tilde{\psi}\ \text{ weakly in } \mathrm{W}^{1,\mathrm{p}}(\Omega),\quad \text{as } \varepsilon\to0.$$

Since $W^{1,p}(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is compact, we also have $\tilde{\psi}\in\mathcal{K}$. Because convex functional is weakly lower semi-continuous, we have

$$\int_\Omega|\nabla\tilde{\psi}|^p+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}|\tilde{\psi}|^p\le\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to0}(\int_\Omega|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^p+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}|\psi_\varepsilon|^p) \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, if $\widehat{\psi}$ is the first eigenfunction of problem (1.1) with $||\widehat{\psi}||_{L^p(\Omega)}=1$, then by the definition of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_1^\varepsilon(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\Omega) &= \textstyle\int_\Omega|\nabla\widehat{\psi}|^p+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}|\widehat{\psi}|^p\le\int_\Omega|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^p+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}|\psi_\varepsilon|^p \\ &\le \textstyle\int_\Omega(\varepsilon\psi_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac p2}+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}\psi_\varepsilon^p \\ &= \textstyle\lambda_\varepsilon(\Omega)\le\int_\Omega(\varepsilon\widehat{\psi}^2+|\nabla\widehat{\psi}|^2)^{\frac p2}+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}\widehat{\psi}^p \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\varepsilon \to 0$ on the both side of the above inequality, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lambda_\varepsilon(\Omega)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^p+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}|\psi_\varepsilon|^p=\int_\Omega|\nabla\widehat{\psi}|^p+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}|\widehat{\psi}|^p=\lambda_1(\Omega) \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.4) and (3.6), we infer that

$$\int_\Omega|\nabla\tilde{\psi}|^p+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}|\tilde{\psi}|^p\le\int_\Omega|\nabla\widehat{\psi}|^p+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega}|\widehat{\psi}|^p=\lambda_1(\Omega).$$

Hence, $\tilde{\psi}$ is a minimizer of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$. This implies that $\tilde{\psi}=\widehat{\psi}$ due to the simplicity of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ and $||\tilde{\psi}||_{L^p(\Omega)}=||\widehat{\psi}||_{L^p(\Omega)}=1$. Consequently, $\psi_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \widehat{\psi}$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$. Finally, by the regularity theory of Tolksdorf [23, 24] and DiBenedetto [7], we know that for $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$,there exists $\alpha\in(0,1)$ and a positive constant C independent of ε such that $||\psi_\varepsilon||_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}\le C$. Hence, up to a subsequence, ψ_ε converges to $\widehat{\psi}$ in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. For any fixed $t\in(m,1)$, let ν denote the outward unit vector normal to ∂U_t. If we denote by ψ_ε the solution of Problem (3.3) obtained in Lemma 3.2, then by the standard regularity theory of elliptic equations we know that $\psi_\varepsilon\in C^\infty(\Omega)$. Hence, by divergence Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\partial U_t}\frac{(\varepsilon\psi_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{\psi_\varepsilon^{p-1}}\frac{\partial\psi_\varepsilon}{\partial\nu}d\sigma\\
&=-\int_{U_t}\operatorname{div}\Big(\frac{(\varepsilon\psi_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\psi_\varepsilon}{\psi_\varepsilon^{p-1}}\Big)dx\\
&=-\int_{U_t}\frac{\operatorname{div}((\varepsilon\psi_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\psi_\varepsilon)}{\psi_\varepsilon^{p-1}}dx+(p-1)\int_{U_t}\frac{(\varepsilon\psi_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2}{\psi_\varepsilon^{p}}dx\\
&=\lambda_1^\varepsilon(\Omega)|U_t|-\varepsilon\int_{U_t}\frac{(\varepsilon\psi_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{\psi_\varepsilon^{p-2}}+(p-1)\int_{U_t}\frac{(\varepsilon\psi_\varepsilon^2+|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2}{\psi_\varepsilon^{p}}dx
\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Passing to the limit in (3.7) as $\varepsilon\to 0$, we obtain

$$-\int_{\partial U_t}\frac{|\nabla\widehat{\psi}|^{p-2}}{\widehat{\psi}^{p-1}}\frac{\partial\widehat{\psi}}{\partial\nu}d\sigma=\lambda_1(\Omega)|U_t|+(p-1)\int_{U_t}\frac{|\nabla\widehat{\psi}|^p}{\widehat{\psi}^p}dx \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ is simple, we have

$$-\int_{\partial U_t}\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}}{\psi^{p-1}}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu}d\sigma=\lambda_1(\Omega)|U_t|+(p-1)\int_{U_t}\frac{|\nabla\psi|^p}{\psi^p}dx. \tag{3.9}$$

By the boundary condition, we have

$$\beta=-\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}}{\psi^{p-1}}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu},\quad x\in\partial_E U_t,$$

due to $\partial_E U_t\subset\partial\Omega$. Noticing further that $|\nabla\psi|=-\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu}$ on S_t, we obtain from the definitions of S_t and $\partial_E U_t$ that

$$-\int_{\partial U_t}\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}}{\psi^{p-1}}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu}d\sigma=\int_{S_t}\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}}{\psi^{p-1}}|\nabla\psi|d\sigma+\int_{\partial_E U_t}\beta\ d\sigma. \tag{3.10}$$

Now, the conclusion of Proposition 3.1 follows from (3.9) and (3.10).

4 The lower bound of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$

In this section, we give a lower bound of $\lambda_1(\Omega)$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_\beta = \{\varphi(x) \in C(\Omega); \varphi(x) \geq 0, \overline{\lim_{x\to z}}\varphi(x) \leq \beta, \quad \forall z \in \partial\Omega\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Keep in use the same notations ψ, U_t and S_t as in the previous section. Since $\psi(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, it is easy to see that $(\frac{|\nabla\psi|}{\psi})^{p-1} \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$ if and only if ψ is a constant on $\partial\Omega$. In fact, if ψ is a constant on $\partial\Omega$ then $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu} = -|\nabla\psi|$ on $\partial\Omega$. Hence

$$\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}} = -\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}}{\psi^{p-1}}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu} = \beta \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

This implies that $(\frac{|\nabla\psi|}{\psi})^{p-1} \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$.

On the other hand, if $(\frac{|\nabla\psi|}{\psi})^{p-1} \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$, then

$$\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}} \leq \beta = -\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}}{\psi^{p-1}}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu} \leq \frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}} \quad \forall\ x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Hence $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu} = -|\nabla\psi|$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$, which implies that ψ is a constant on $\partial\Omega$.

The main results of this section can be stated as

Theorem 4.1. *For every $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$, there exists a set $I \subset [0,1]$ with positive measure such that*

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \geq H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in I. \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$, and ψ be the first eigenfunction of problem (1.1). If $\varphi \neq \frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}}$, then there exists a set $I \subset [m,1]$ with positive measure such that*

$$H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi) < \lambda_1(\Omega) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in I.$$

To prove Theorems, we prove some lemmas first. For any given φ and $\varphi \geq 0$, let

$$\omega(x) := \varphi(x) - \frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}}, \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{4.3}$$

Then we have

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$, let ω be defined as (4.3). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\omega(x) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \Omega$ with* $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta$.

Proof. Since $\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}}$ is continuous on the compact set $\overline{\Omega}$, we have that for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left|\frac{|\nabla\psi(x)|^{p-1}}{\psi(x)^{p-1}} - \frac{|\nabla\psi(z)|^{p-1}}{\psi(z)^{p-1}}\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for any} \quad x,\ z \in \overline{\Omega},\ |x - z| < \delta_0. \tag{4.4}$$

For any fixed $z \in \partial\Omega$ and the above fixed ε, by the assumption that $\overline{\lim}_{x\to z}\varphi \le \beta$, we can choose $r_z > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in B(z,r_z)\cap\Omega} \varphi(x) \le \beta + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

that is

$$\varphi(x) - \beta \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \text{for all } x \in B(z,r_z)\cap\Omega, \tag{4.5}$$

where $B(z,r_z)$ denotes the ball with radius r_z and center z . Since the set $\{B(z,r_z),\ z \in \partial\Omega\}$ of balls form an open cover of the compact set $\partial\Omega$, we can select a finite subcover $\{B(z_i,r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ with $r_i = r_{z_i}$. Let $\delta \le \min\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n, \delta_0\}$ be so small that $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(z_i,r_i)$ whenever $x \in \Omega$ satisfying $\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega) < \delta$. Then, for any $x \in \Omega$ with $\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega) < \delta$, there exists $i_0 \in \{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ such that $x \in B(z_{i_0}, r_{i_0})$. By the boundary condition, we have

$$\beta = -\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}}{\psi^{p-1}}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu}(z_{i_0}) \le \frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}}(z_{i_0}). \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) that for any $x \in \Omega$ with $\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega < \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x) = \varphi(x) - \tfrac{|\nabla\psi(x)|^{p-1}}{\psi(x)^{p-1}} &= \varphi(x) - \beta + \beta - \tfrac{|\nabla\psi(x)|^{p-1}}{\psi(x)^{p-1}} \\ &\le \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2} + \tfrac{|\nabla\psi(z_{i_0})|^{p-1}}{\psi(z_{i_0})^{p-1}} - \tfrac{|\nabla\psi(x)|^{p-1}}{\psi(x)^{p-1}} \\ &\le \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2} + \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

This is just the desired conclusion of Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $\varphi \in C(\Omega)$ is non-negative such that $\varphi \in L^1(U)$ for every open set $U \subset \Omega$. Let ω be defined as (4.3). Set*

$$F(t) := \int_t^1 \frac{1}{\tau}\int_{S_\tau} \omega d\sigma d\tau, \quad \text{for } t \in (m,1).$$

Then F is absolutely continuous on $(\varepsilon,1)$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}F(t) = -\frac{1}{t}\int_{S_t} \omega d\sigma,$$

for almost all $t \in (0,1)$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. By the assumption $\varphi \in C(\Omega) \cap L^1(U_\varepsilon)$ and the co-area formula, we have

$$\int_\varepsilon^1 \frac{1}{\tau}\int_{S_\tau} \varphi \ d\sigma d\tau = \int_{U_\varepsilon} \frac{\varphi}{\psi}|\nabla\psi| \ dx < \infty$$

and

$$\int_\varepsilon^1 \frac{1}{\tau}\int_{S_\tau} \frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}} \ d\sigma \ d\tau = \int_{U_\varepsilon} \frac{|\nabla\psi|^p}{\psi^p} \ dx < \infty.$$

Let

$$f(\tau) := \frac{1}{\tau}\int_{S_\tau} \omega \; d\sigma,$$

Then $f(\tau) \in L^1((\varepsilon, 1))$, thus $F(t) = \int_t^1 f(\tau)\; d\tau$ is absolutely continuous on $(\varepsilon, 1)$ and differentiable almost everywhere. Moreover

$$F'(t) = -f(t) = -\frac{1}{t}\int_{S_t} \omega \; d\sigma.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4.

To state our next Lemma, we recall more regularity results of the first eigenfunction ψ. By the boundary condition and the Hopf's boundary point Lemma, we know that $\psi(x) > 0$ for any $x \in \partial\Omega$. Consequently $|\nabla\psi|(x) > 0$ for any $x \in \partial\Omega$. Since $\partial\Omega$ is compact and $|\nabla\psi| \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, it is easy to prove that there exists positive number α and a neighborhood N of $\partial\Omega$ in Ω such that $|\nabla\psi|(x) \geq \alpha > 0$ for any $x \in N$. This implies that p-Laplacian is uniformly elliptic in N. Hence, by the interior regularity theorem of elliptic equations, we know that $\psi \in C^\infty(N)$. If we let $m = \min\{\psi(x); x \in \overline{\Omega}\}$ and $K = \{x \in \Omega; \psi(x) = m\}$, then by strong maximum principle we know that $K \subset \partial\Omega$. Noticing furthermore that K is compact, there exists $t_0 \in (m, 1)$ small enough such that

$$S_t \subset N \quad \text{for any} \quad t \leq t_0.$$

An argument similar to that used by Daners in [5] implies the following lemma since all computations in [5] are local.

Lemma 4.5. *Let U_t and S_t be defined as in section 3. Then U_t is a Lipschitz domain, moreover, there exist $t_1 \in (m, t_0)$ and a constant $C > 0$ independent of t such that $\sigma(S_t) \leq C\sigma(\partial\Omega)$ for all $t \in (m, t_1)$.*

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We give a proof by contradiction. Suppose that there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$ such that

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) < H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi) \;\text{ for almost all } t \in (m, 1). \tag{4.8}$$

Let ω be defined as (4.3) and $F(t)$ be defined as in Lemma 4.4, that is

$$\omega(x) := \varphi(x) - \frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}}, \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$F(t) := \int_t^1 \frac{1}{\tau}\int_{S_\tau} \omega d\sigma d\tau, \quad \text{for all } t \in (m, 1).$$

Then by (4.8), the definition of $H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi)$ and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\int_{S_t} \omega \; d\sigma - (p-1)\int_{U_t} (\varphi^{\frac{p}{p-1}} - \frac{|\nabla\psi|^p}{\psi^p})\; dx = |U_t|[H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi) - \lambda_1(\Omega)] > 0. \tag{4.10}$$

By Taylor's expansion, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{\frac{p}{p-1}} - \tfrac{|\nabla\psi|^p}{\psi^p} &= (\tfrac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}} + \omega)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} - \tfrac{|\nabla\psi|^p}{\psi^p} \\ &= \tfrac{p}{p-1}(\tfrac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\omega + \tfrac{1}{2}\tfrac{1}{p-1}\xi^{\frac{p}{p-1}-2}\omega^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where ξ is a nonnegative function with value between φ and $\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}}$.

From (4.10), (4.11), the co-area formula and the definition of $F(t)$, we obtain

$$\int_{S_t} \omega \, d\sigma > p\int_{U_t} \frac{|\nabla\psi|}{\psi}\omega \, dx = p\int_t^1 \int_{S_\tau} \frac{1}{\tau}\omega \, d\sigma d\tau = pF(t)$$

for almost all $t \in (m, 1)$.

It follows from Lemma 4.4 and the above inequality that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(t^p F(t)) = -t^p f(t) + pt^{p-1}F(t) = t^{p-1}(-\int_{S_t} \omega \, d\sigma + pF(t)) < 0$$

for almost all $t \in (m, 1)$.

Hence, the function $t^p F(t)$ is strictly decreasing on $(m, 1)$. Since $F(1) = 0$ and $F(t)$ is continuous on $(m, 1)$, there exists $\eta > 0$ and $t_2 \in (m, 1)$ such that $F(t) > \eta$ for $t \in (m, t_2]$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.5, there exists $t_3 \in (m, t_2]$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that $\sigma(S_t) \leq C\sigma(\partial\Omega)$ for $t \in (m, t_3)$. Set

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\eta}{C\sigma(\partial\Omega)}.$$

For this fixed ε_0, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\omega(x) \leq \varepsilon_0$ for any $x \in \Omega$ with $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta_0$. Noticing that ψ attains its strict minimum on $\partial\Omega$, we can choose $0 < t_4 < t_3$ so small that $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta_0$ for any $x \in S_t$ and $t \in (m, t_4)$. Hence, for any $t \in (m, t_4)$, there holds

$$p\eta < pF(t) < \int_{S_t} \omega \, d\sigma \leq \varepsilon_0 \sigma(S_t) \leq \varepsilon_0 C\sigma(\partial\Omega) \leq \eta$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We give a proof by contradiction. Assume that $\varphi \neq \frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}}$ and that

$$H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi) \geq \lambda_1(\Omega), \quad \text{for almost all } t \in (m, 1). \tag{4.12}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, by the definition of $H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi)$ and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\int_{S_t} \omega \, d\sigma \geq pF(t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{p}{p-1}\int_{U_t} \xi^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\omega^2 dx \quad \text{for almost all } \ t \in (m, 1), \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}(t^p F(t)) \leq -\frac{1}{2}\frac{p}{p-1}\int_{U_t} \xi^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\omega^2 dx \leq 0, \quad \text{for almost all } \ t \in (m, 1),$$

where ξ is a nonnegative function with value between φ and $\frac{|\nabla\psi|^{p-1}}{\psi^{p-1}}$. Hence $t^pF(t)$ is nonincreasing in $(m,1)$. Since $\omega(x)\in C(\Omega)$, $\omega(x)\not\equiv 0$ and $\bigcup\limits_{t\in(m,1)} U_t=\Omega$, there exists $t_0\in(m,1)$ such that

$$\int_{U_{t_0}} \xi^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\omega^2 dx>0 \tag{4.14}$$

Moreover, if $t_1,t_2\in(m,1)$ satisfy $t_1<t_2$, then we have $U_{t_2}\subset U_{t_1}$. Hence, the map

$$t\mapsto\int_{U_t}\xi^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\omega^2dx$$

is non-increasing in $(m,1)$ and $\int_{U_1}\xi^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\omega^2dx=0$ due to $U_1=\emptyset$.

Let

$$t^*=\sup\{t\in(m,1),\quad\int_{U_t}\xi^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\omega^2dx>0\}.$$

From (4.14), we know that $t^*\in(m,1]$ and thus $t^pF(t)$ is strictly decreasing on (m,t^*) and non-increasing on $[t^*,1]$, similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, there exists $t_3\in(m,t^*)$ such that for any $t\in(m,t_3)$,

$$p\eta<pF(t)<\int_{S_t}\omega\ d\sigma\le\varepsilon\sigma(S_t)\le\eta,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.2.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section devotes to prove Theorem 1.1. To this end, we denote by $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ the first eigenvalue of problem (1.1) on the domain Ω and ψ_Ω denotes its corresponding eigenfunction. Furthermore, $B=B_R(0)$ denotes the ball with radius R and center 0 such that $|B|=|\Omega|$. Let U_t be the level set and S_t be the level surface of ψ_Ω at level t defined in section 2, and $B_{r(t)}(0)$ be the ball with radius $r(t)$ and center 0 such that $|B_{r(t)}(0)|=|U_t|$. Define

$$\Phi_B(x)=\frac{|\nabla\psi_B(x)|^{p-1}}{\psi_B^{p-1}(x)}\quad\text{for}\quad x\in B_R(0).$$

By Corollary 2.3, Φ_B is radially symmetry. So, we only need to consider the radial function

$$G(r)=\Phi_B(|x|)=\frac{|\psi_B'(r)|^{p-1}}{\psi_B^{p-1}(r)}=g^{p-1}(r)\quad\text{for}\quad r\in(0,R)$$

where $g(r)$ is the function defined in Proposition 2.8. Then by Proposition 2.8, we know that $G(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,R)$. Consequently, $G(r)\le G(R)=\beta$ for any $r\in[0,R]$. we construct our test function as the following.

For any $t \in (m,1)$ and $x \in S_t$, we set

$$\Phi(x) = G(r(t)).$$

It is obvious that Φ is well defined since Ω is a disjoint union of S_t, $t \in (m,1]$. Moreover, $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_\beta(\Omega)$ due to Φ is continuous and $\Phi(x) \leq \beta$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. It is also not too difficult to see that

$$\int_{U_t} |\Phi|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx = \int_{B_{r(t)}} \Phi_B^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx. \tag{5.1}$$

Since by the construction the level sets of Φ and Φ_B have the same measure. Now, we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$, we conclude from Theorem 4.1 that there exist a set $I \subset (m,1)$ with positive measure such that

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \geq H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi) \quad \text{for all } \ t \in I. \tag{5.2}$$

Noticing that $\sigma(\partial B_{r_t}) \leq \sigma(\partial U_t)$ for all $t \in (m,1]$, and $\Phi(x) = G(r(t)) \leq \beta$ when $x \in S_t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\int_{\partial B_{r(t)}} \Phi_B(x) d\sigma = G(r(t))\sigma(\partial B_{r(t)}) \ &\leq G(r(t))\sigma(\partial U_t) \\ &= G(r(t))(\textstyle\int_{S_t} d\sigma + \int_{\partial_E U_t} \ d\sigma) \\ &\leq \textstyle\int_{S_t} \Phi d\sigma + \int_{\partial_E U_t} \beta d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Hence, from (5.1), (5.3) and the definitions of $H_B(B_{r(t)}(0), \Phi_B)$ and $H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi)$, we have

$$H_B(B_{r(t)}(0), \Phi_B) \leq H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi) \quad \forall t \in (m,1). \tag{5.4}$$

Since, by Proposition 3.1, we have $\lambda_1(B) = H_B(B_{r(t)}(0), \Phi_B)$ for any $t \in (m,1)$, it follows from (5.2) and (5.4) that

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \geq \lambda_1(B).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6 Proof of Theorem 1.2

This section devotes to prove Theorem 1.2. To this end, we keep in use of all notations in section 5, and prove some lemmas first.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that Ω satisfies that $\lambda_1(\Omega) = \lambda_1(B)$ with $|\Omega| = |B|$. Then*

$$\Phi = \frac{|\nabla \psi_\Omega|^{p-1}}{\psi_\Omega^{p-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi) = \lambda_1(B),$$

for almost all $t \in (m, 1)$.

Proof. If $\lambda_1(\Omega) = \lambda_1(B)$, then by Proposition 3.1 and (5.4), we have $\lambda_1(\Omega) = \lambda_1(B) = H_B(B(r(t)), G) \le H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi)$ for almost all $t \in (m, 1)$. Hence by Theorem 4.2, $\Phi = \frac{|\nabla \psi_\Omega|^{p-1}}{\psi_\Omega^{p-1}}$. Again, by Proposition 3.1, we obtain $H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi) = \lambda_1(\Omega) = \lambda_1(B)$, for almost all $t \in (m, 1)$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let* ψ_Ω *be the eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue* $\lambda_1(\Omega)$*, and* U_t *be the level set of* ψ_Ω*. Then* $H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi) = \lambda_1(B)$ *if and only if* U_t *is a ball and* $\sigma(\partial_E U_t) = 0$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that $\lambda_1(B) = H_B(B(r(t)), G)$ for all $t \in (m, 1)$. By the construction of G and Φ, we know that the level sets of G and Φ have the same measure. Hence

$$\int_{U_t} |\Phi|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx = \int_{B_{r(t)}} |G|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx, \quad \text{for all } t \in (m, 1).$$

Using the definitions of $H_\Omega(U, \varphi)$ and Φ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi) &= \tfrac{1}{|U_t|}\left(\int_{\partial_I U_t} \Phi d\sigma + \int_{\partial_E U_t} \beta d\sigma - (p-1)\int_{U_t} \Phi^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx\right), \\ &= \tfrac{1}{|B_{r(t)}|}\left[G(r(t))\sigma(S_t) + \beta\sigma(\partial_E U_t) - (p-1)\int_{B_{r(t)}} G^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

If U_t is a ball and $\sigma(\partial_E U_t) = 0$, then $\sigma(S_t) = \sigma(\partial B_{r(t)})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi) = \tfrac{1}{|B_{r(t)}|}\left[G(r(t))\sigma(\partial B_{r(t)}) - (p-1)\int_{B_{r(t)}} G^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx\right] \\ &= H_B(B_{r(t)}, G) = \lambda_1(B). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Conversely, if $H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi) = \lambda_1(B)$, then for this t,

$$G(r(t))\sigma(S_t) + \beta\sigma(\partial_E U_t) = G(r(t))\sigma(\partial B_{r(t)}).$$

Noticing that $S_t = \partial_I U_t = \partial U_t - \partial_E U_t$, we have

$$\sigma(\partial_E U_t)(\beta - G(r(t))) = G(r(t))(\sigma(\partial B_{r(t)}) - \sigma(\partial U_t)).$$

This is only possible when $\sigma(\partial_E U_t) = 0$ and $\sigma(\partial B_{r(t)}) = \sigma(\partial U_t)$, since $0 < G(r(t))) < \beta$ for all $t \in (m, 1)$ and $|B_{r(t)}| = |U_t|$ implies $\sigma(\partial B_{r(t)}) \le \sigma(\partial U_t)$. But we know that the ball is the unique minimizer of the isoperimetric inequality. Hence, $U_t = B_{r(t)} + z$ for some $z \in R^N$. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 6.3. *Assume that* $u(x) \ge 0$ *satisfies that* $-\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda u^{p-1}$ *in* Ω *for some* $\lambda > 0$*. Suppose further that for some* $t > 0$ *the level set* $\{x \in \Omega, u(x) > t\} = B_{r(t)}(x_0)$ *is a ball with radius* $r(t)$ *and center* x_0*. If* $u \in C(\overline{B}_{r(t)}(x_0))$ *and* $\sigma(\partial_E B_{r(t)}(x_0)) = 0$*, then* u *is radially symmetric with respect to* x_0 *in* $B_{r(t)}(x_0)$.

This lemma is crucial to the proof of Theorem 1.2. In the case $p = 2$, the conclusion of the Lemma 6.3 is a famous result due to Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [10] (see also

Corollary 3.4 in [9]). In the case $1 < p < 2$, the conclusion of Lemma 6.3 was given in [4]. In the case $p = N$, the conclusion of Lemma 6.3 was proved in [15]. However, the conclusion of Lemma 6.3 for the case $p > 2$ and $p \neq N$ is not available so far. Here, we give a proof of Lemma 6.3 for all $p \in (1, +\infty)$.

Proof of Lemma 6.3. By the assumption, we know that for the same $t > 0$ in the above Lemma, $u(x)$ is a solution of the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v) = \lambda v^{p-1} & \text{in } B_{r(t)}(x_0), \\ v \geq 0 & \text{in } B_{r(t)}(x_0), \\ v = t & \text{on } \partial B_{r(t)}(x_0). \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we know that any solution of problem (6.3) is strictly positive in $\overline{\Omega}$. Since problem (6.3) is invariant under rotation, we can prove Lemma 6.3 by proving that uniqueness theorem is valid for (6.3). To this end, we denote $B_{r(t)}(x_0)$ by Ω for simplicity, and suppose that $v_1 > 0$ and $v_2 > 0$ are two solutions of problem (6.3). Then $v_i(x)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla v_i|^{p-2}\nabla v_i) = \lambda |v_i|^{p-2} v_i & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_i = t & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{6.4}$$

Let

$$\eta_1 = v_1 - v_2^p v_1^{1-p} = \frac{v_1^p - v_2^p}{v_1^{p-1}}, \quad \eta_2 = v_2 - v_1^p v_2^{1-p} = \frac{v_2^p - v_1^p}{v_2^{p-1}}.$$

It is obvious that $\eta_i = 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$ on $\partial\Omega$. Multiplying equation (6.4) by η_i $(i = 1, 2)$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla v_i|^{p-2}\nabla v_i \cdot \nabla \eta_i - \lambda \int_\Omega v_i^{p-1}\eta_i = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

By a similar argument to that used in the proof of Proposition 2.2, we infer that $\nabla(\ln v_1 - \ln v_2) = 0$, namely, $v_2 = Cv_1$ for some constant C. Since $v_1(x) = v_2(x) = t$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$, we obtain that $C = 1$ and $v_1(x) \equiv v_2(x)$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. Hence, the solution of problem (6.3) is unique, and hence, the symmetry result of Lemma 6.3 follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let Ω satisfy $\lambda_1(\Omega) = \lambda_1(B)$ and $|\Omega| = |B|$, U_t be the level set of eigenfunction ψ_Ω correspond to $\lambda_1(\Omega)$. Then by Lemma 6.1, $H_\Omega(U_t, \Phi) = \lambda_1(B)$ for almost all $t \in (m, 1)$, and so, U_t is a ball for any $t \in (m, 1)$ and $\sigma(\partial_E U_t) = 0$ by Lemma 6.2. At this stage, Lemma 6.3 implies that ψ_Ω is radially symmetry inside U_t, and all interior level sets U_τ for $\tau \in (t, 1)$ are concentric balls. In particular, for all $t \in (m, 1]$, the level sets U_t are concentric balls. Therefore, $\Omega = \bigcup_{t\in(m,1)} U_t$ is a ball.

Acknowledgements. Heartfelt thanks are given to Professor Xu-jia Wang for many invaluable comments.

References

[1] T.Bhattacharya, A proof of the Faber-Krahn inequality for the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata, IV 177 (1999), 325-343

[2] M.H.Bossel, Membranes *é*lastiquement li*é*es inhomogènes ou sur une surface: une nouvelle extension du th*é*or*é*me isop*é*rim*é*trique de Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 39(1988), 733-742

[3] D.Bucur and D.Daners, An alternative approach to the Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problems, Calc. Var. PDE., 37(2010), p75-86

[4] L.Damascelli and F.Pacella, Monotonicity and symmetry results for p-Laplace equations and applications, Adv. Differential Equations, 5(2000), 1179-1200

[5] D.Daners, A Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problems in any space dimension, Math. Ann. 335 (2006), 767-785

[6] D.Daners, J. Kennedy, Uniqueness in the Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problems, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 39(2007), 1191-1207

[7] E.DiBenedetto, $C^{1,\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 7(1983), 827-850

[8] G.Faber, Beweis, dass unter allen homogenen Membranen von gleicher Fläche und gleicher Spannung die kreisförmige den tiefsten Grundton gibt, Sitzungsber, Bayr. Akad. Wiss. München, Math.-Phys. Kl, 1923, 169-127

[9] L.E.Fraenkel, An Introduction to Maximum Principles and Symmetry in Elliptic Problems, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 128, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000

[10] B.Gidas, W.M.Ni and L.Nirenberg, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, Comm. Math. Phys., 68(1979), 209-243

[11] T.Giorgi, R.G.Smits, Monotonicity results for the principal eigenvalue of the generalized robin problem, Illinois J. Math. 49 (4) (2005), 1133-1143

[12] D.J.Guo, Nonlinear Functional Analysis(in Chinese), Second Editition, Shangdong Science and Technology Press, (2002)

[13] Chavel Isaac, Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry, Academic Press, Inc, Orlando, FL, 1984

[14] B.Kawohl, Rearrangements and convexity of Level sets in PDE (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1150), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1985

[15] S.Kesavan, F.Pacella, Symmetry of positive solutions of a quasilinear elliptic equation via isoperimetric inequalities, Applicable Anal., 54(1994), 27-37

[16] E.Krahn, Über eine von Rayleigh formulierte Minimaleigenschaft des Kreises, Math. Ann. 94 (1925), 97-100

[17] E.Krahn, Über Minimaleigenschaften der Kugel in drei und mehr Dimensionen, Acta Comm. Univ. Tartu (Dorpat) A9 (1926), 1-44

[18] P.Lindqvist, On the equation $-\text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u$, Proc. Amme. Math. Soci. 109 (1) (1990), 157-163

[19] P.Pucci and J.Serrin, The strong maxium principle revisited, J. Differential Equations, 196(2004),1-66

[20] J.W.S. Rayleigh, The Theory of Sound, second edition revised and enlarged (in 2 volumes). Dover publications, New York, 1945 (republication of the 1894/96 edition)

[21] S.Sakaguchi, Concavity properity of solutions to some degenerate quasilinear elliptic dirichlet problems. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Serie IV (Classe di Scienze) 14, 1987,pp.403-421

[22] G.Szegö, Inequalities for certain eigenvalues of a membrane of given area, J. Rational Mech. Anal. 3 (1954), 343-356

[23] P.Tolksdorf, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, J. Differential Equations, 51(1984), 126-150

[24] P.Tolksdorf, On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear equations in domains with conical boundary points, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 8(1983), 773-817

[25] H.F.Weinberger, An isoperimetric inequality for the n-dimensional free membrane problem, J. Rational Mech. Anal. 5 (1956), 633-636